



**Carolina Cenobio Castillo, Josip A. Slisko¹,
Lidia A. Hernández Rebollar, Adrián Corona Cruz**

**Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad
Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, México**

Original paper

***A few considerations of climbing-snail
problem: Fibonacci's error, problem's
popularity and Mexican students'
performances***

Paper received: Jan 23 2017
Paper accepted: Feb 26 2017
Article Published: May 5 2017

Extended summary

Among the “puzzle-like” problems of “recreational mathematics” is very popular one related to the situation in which a snail moving up and down on a pole of certain height. The question usually read: How many days are needed that the snail reaches the top of the pole? People often give the wrong answer to this question because they use “fast thinking” that does not take into account the important fact that the snail should get to the top during a daily climbing and not during a night descending (explicit or implicit conceptual error that can be called “flying snail “). At the beginning, we briefly present (mostly unknown) history of this problem, which shows that the wrong solutions to the problem were given some famous medieval mathematicians such as Fibonacci, Calendri and Ries.

After that, we give some concrete examples that show a great popularity of the problem on web pages with puzzles, in books with mathematical riddles, in mathematics textbooks and manuals for preparing entrance exams at universities. Despite of such big popularity, concrete pupils' and students solutions of the problem of snail that climbs and goes down are insufficiently present in the research literature. In this way, phenomenological categorization of these solutions and theoretical considerations of their possible causes are missing.

In the main part of the work, we describe an initial study conducted in Mexico, which his the first contribution to a phenomenological categorization of different (correct and incorrect) students' solutions and to a consideration of possible causal factors of their diversity. The aim of the study was to qualitatively investigate how students' age and position the correct answer in a multiple choice for math influence students' solutions. The pupils of different ages

¹ jslisko@cfm.buap.mx

Copyright © 2016 by the authors, licensee [Teacher Education Faculty](#) University of Belgrade, SERBIA.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original paper is accurately cited.

(12 and 15) were given two versions of the problem. In one group, the correct answer was the first offered choice (N1 = 68), while in the second group the correct answer was the last offered choice (N2 = 81).

Obtained results show that the students' solutions were affected both by their age and by position the correct answer among the offered answers. When the correct answer was in the first place, older students (15 years) have significantly out performed the younger (12 years). However, when the correct answer was in the last place, the older students were only slightly better.

Various procedures and representations used by students to come to a right or wrong answer are presented with details. Finally, we mention several implications of the obtained results that are important for teaching of mathematics: (1) Teachers should avoid, when ever possible, to give learners problems in multiple-choice format. (2) Teachers should constantly ask students to describe verbally what they do in solving problems and why they do it. (3) Teachers should constantly encourage students to find many different representations and procedures for obtaining a solution to all mathematical problems they face (for example, verbal, tabular or schematic approach). (4) Teachers should explicitly show to students the essential difference between the pictorial and schematic representation of the problem situation and give students multiple opportunities to move from the pictoric to the schematic representations, first with teacher's help and later without this help. (5) Teachers should show students the importance of taking into account units of physical quantities in solving problems related to physics.

Key words: Climbing-snail problem, Fibonacci's error, mathematical problem solving, multi-ple-choice format, students' drawings, students' mathematical reasoning

References

- Adesina, A., Stone, R., Batmaz, F., & Jones, I. (2014). Touch Arithmetic: A process-based Computer-Aided Assessment approach for capture of problem solving steps in the context of elementary mathematics. *Computers & Education*, 78, 333-343.
- Antonietti, A., Angelini, Ch., & Cerana, P. (2007). *L'intuizione visiva. Utilizzare le immagini per analizzare e risolvere i problemi*. Milano: FrancoAngeli/Trend, "La lumaca tenace", p. 39
- Arnold, V.I. (2004). Problems for children from 5 to 15. http://jnsilva.ludicum.org/HMR13_14/Arnold_en.pdf(Accessed on January 15, 2017)
- Attali, Y., & Bar-Hillel, M. (2003). Guess Where: The Position of Correct Answers in Multiple-Choice Test Items as a Psychometric Variable. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 40(2), 109-128.
- Averbach, B., & Chein, O. (2012). *Problem solving through recreational mathematics*. New York: Dover.
- Bertocchi, S. (2012). 3500 quiz ingegneria. I quesiti per le prove di ammissione. Milano: Alpha Test, Question 1397, p. 217
- Bianchini, M., & Borgonovo, P. (editors). (2012). *La prova a test del concorso insegnanti. Manuale di preparazione. Con CD-ROM*. Milano: Alpha Test, Question 10, p. 15

-
- Bradfield, D. L. (1970). Sparking interest in the mathematics classroom. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 17(3), 239-242.
 - Camagni, P. (2010). Algoritmi e basi della programmazione. Milano: Editore Ulrico Hoepli. “La lumaca sul muro”, p. 98.
 - D’Amore, B. (1995). Uso spontaneo del disegno nella risoluzione di problemi di matematica. *La matematica e la sua didattica*. 3, 328-370.
 - Danesi, M. (2002). *The Puzzle Instinct: The meaning of puzzles in human life*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
 - Davies, C. (1850). *The university arithmetic: embracing the science of numbers, and their numerous applications*. New York: A. S. Barnes & Company
 - Deschauer, S. (2013). *Das zweite Rechenbuch von Adam Ries: eine moderne Textfassung mit Kommentar und metrologischem Anhang und einer Einführung in Leben und Werk des Rechenmeisters*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
 - Diezmann, C. M. (1997). The effect of instruction on students’ generation of diagrams. In Bidulph, F. & Carr, K. (editors) (1997). *Proceedings 20th Annual Conference of Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia: People in mathematics education*. Rotorua: New Zealand, pp. 140 – 146.
 - Dohmen, T., Falk, A., Huffman, D., & Sunde, U. (2010). Are risk aversion and impatience related to cognitive ability?. *The American Economic Review*, 100(3), 1238-1260.
 - Dudaité, J. (2013). Item Format Influence on the Results of the Item. *Societal Studies*, 5(3), 515 – 524.
 - Earl, W. (1966). An iconoclastic elementary school mathematics program. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 13(6), 489-491
 - Escareño, F. y López O. L. (2008). *Matemáticas 1*. México D.F.: Editorial Trillas, p. 73.
 - Frederick, S. (2005). Cognitive reflection and decision making. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(4), 25-42.
 - Gardner, M. (1998). A Quarter-Century of Recreational Mathematics. *Scientific American – American Edition*, 279, 68-75.
 - Hegarty, M., & Kozhevnikov, M. (1999). Types of visual-spatial representations and mathematical problem solving. *Journal of educational psychology*, 91(4), 684 - 689.
 - Hohensinn, C., & Baghaei, P. (2017). Does the Position of Response Options in Multiple-Choice Tests Matter?. *Psicológica*, 38, 93-109.
 - Jensen, R., & O’Neil, D. R. (1982). Classical problems for all ages. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 29(5), 8-12.
 - Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. New York: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux.
 - Kelly, J. A. (1999). Improving problem solving through drawings. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 6(1), 48-51.
 - Kosanić, G. (n. d.). Од давнина до данашњих дана – стари математички задаци <https://gocateacher.wordpress.com/page/10/> (Accessed on September 25, 2016).
-

-
- Massa Esteve, M. R. (2014). Historical activities in the mathematics classroom: Tartaglia's Nova Scientia (1537). *Teaching innovations*, 27(3), 114-126. doi:10.5937/Inovacije1403114E
 - Matka (n. d.) http://www.matka.gradnet.hr/zabavna_matematika.htm(Accessed on January 15, 2017)
 - Meyer, E. F., Falkner, N., Sooriamurthi, R. y Michalewicz, Z. (2014). *Guide to Teaching Puzzle-based Learning*. London: Springer.
 - Michalewicz, Z. y Michalewicz, M. (2008) *Puzzle-based learning: an introduction to critical thinking, mathematics, and problem solving*. Melbourne: Hybrid Publishers.
 - Olea Díaz, A., Basurto Hidalgo E. & Rivera Paredes, M. A. (2009). *Contexto Matemático 1*. Primera edición, Tlalnepantla de Baz, Estado de México: Norma ediciones, p. 66.
 - Peano, G. (1925). *Giochi di aritmetica e problemi interessanti*. Torino: Paravia.
 - Posamentier, A. S. & Krulik, S. (2008). Problem-Solving Strategies for Efficient and Elegant Solutions. Grades 6-12. A Resource of the Mathematics Teacher. Second Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Problem 7.19.
 - Posamentier, A. S. y Krulik, S. (2009). Problem solving in mathematics. Grades 3 - 6: Powerful strategies to deepen understanding. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin, Problem 9.2.
 - Poundstone, W. (2003). *How Would You Move Mount Fuji? Microsoft's Cult of the Puzzle. How the World's Smartest Companies Select the Most Creative Thinkers*. New York: Little, Brown and Company.
 - Poundstone, W. (2012). *Are you smart enough to work at Google? Fiendish Puzzles and Impossible Interview Questions from the World's Top Companies*. Oxford: Oneworld Publications
 - Queryhome (n. d.). <http://puzzle.queryhome.com/516/how-many-days-does-take-before-the-snail-reaches-the-top-the-pit>(Accessed on January 15, 2017)
 - Reuter, T., Schnotz, W., & Rasch, R. (2015). Drawings and tables as cognitive tools for solving non-routine word problems in primary school. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 3(11), 1187-1197.
 - Schaaf, W. L. (1955). *A Bibliography of Recreational Mathematics*. Volumes I – IV. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
 - Sigler L.E. (2002). Fibonacci's Liber Abaci. Leonardo Pisano's Book of Calculation. First soft edition. New York: Springer.
 - Singmaster, D. (2004). Sources in recreational mathematics. An annotated bibliography. Eight preliminary edition (2004).10.H. SNAIL CLIMBING OUT OF WELL. http://puzzlemuseum.com/sigma/sigma6/SOURCES/sigma-sources-edn8-2004-03-19.htm#_Toc69534272 (Accessed on September 25, 2016)
 - Sliško, J. (2016). Zabavni zadatak u vezi sa kretanjem puža – dvije epizode malo poznate ali poučne povijesti matematike (A funny problem related to the motion of a snail - two episodes of little known but instructive history of mathematics). *Matematika u školi*, 83, 132- 135.
 - Sonnabend, T. (2010). *Mathematics for Teachers: An Interactive Approach for Grades K-8*. Fourth edition. Belmont, CA: BROOKS/COLE CENGAGE Learning, Problems 12 and 13, p. 60
-

-
- Threlfall, J., Pool, P., Homer, M., & Swinnerton, B. (2007). Implicit aspects of paper and pencil mathematics assessment that come to light through the use of the computer. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 66(3), 335-348.
 - Toppuzzle (n. d.).<http://en.toppuzzle.eu/puzzles-easy.html>(Accessed on January 15, 2017)
 - van de Walle, J., O'Daffer, P. G., & Charles, R. I. (1988). Problem solving: Tips for teachers. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 35(5), 26-27.
 - Wells, D (2012). *Games and mathematics. Subtle connections*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
 - Zero-Brain (n. d.) <http://zero-brain.com/pc/quiz/8/index.php>(Accessed on January 15, 2017)