Teaching Innovations, Volume 39, Issue 1, pp. 33–51
DOI: 10.5937/inovacije2601033V

Alexandr Veaceslav Parahonco[1]
Vladimir Andrunachievici Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Moldova State University, Moldova
Faculty of Computer Science, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania

Original research paper

Paper received: Aug 27 2025
Paper accepted: Nov 30 2025
Article Published: Apr 6 2026

Artificial Intelligence in Scholarly Writing: Identification and Analysis[2,3]

Extended summary

Research Aim and Significance: This study investigates the transformative impact of generative AI tools (ChatGPT, Google Gemini, Claude) on academic integrity and educational practice, addressing the urgent need for evidence-based responses to widespread AI adoption in education. The research significance lies in its comprehensive analysis of a phenomenon that has evolved faster than institutional responses, requiring systematic evaluation of both technological solutions and pedagogical adaptations. The theoretical context encompasses the fundamental shift from traditional plagiarism—copying existing content—to what we term "cognitive outsourcing", where students delegate thinking processes to AI systems. This transformation challenges core educational assumptions about learning, assessment, and intellectual development, demanding reconceptualization of academic integrity frameworks for the AI era.

Methodological Approach: The study employs a mixed-method approach combining four complementary components: systematic literature review of 100 sources (2023–2025) from *Scopus*, *Web of Science*, *IEEE Xplore*, and policy documents; comparative evaluation of six AI detection platforms (*Turnitin*, *GPTZero*, *Originality.ai*, *ZeroGPT*, *Copyleaks*, *Scribbr*) analyzing accuracy, false positive rates, and language coverage; case evidence analysis from UK, US, and EU educational contexts; and policy analysis examining EU AI Act, Council of Europe frame-

1 alexandr.parahonco@math.md

2 The paper is part of the project *SIBIA-011301, "Information Systems Based on Artificial Intelligence"*, financed by institution 2024 - 2027, Vladimir Andrunachievici Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Moldova State University, Moldova.

3 This paper is part of the doctoral research "Adaptive learning system based on advanced technologies", 15.10.2028 (Prof. Univ. Dr. Lenuța Alboaie).

works, UNESCO guidance, and national initiatives. Performance metrics were extracted from peer-reviewed validation studies and independent benchmarking reports, with cross-platform triangulation to reduce bias. The analytical framework addressed three core questions: AI usage prevalence and motivations, detection tool effectiveness in academic contexts, and pedagogical measures balancing innovation with integrity.

Key Results: Findings reveal widespread AI integration with over 80% of higher education students experimenting with AI tools and 50% using them without disclosure. The comparative evaluation demonstrates significant performance variations among detection platforms, with accuracy rates ranging from 78–98% but persistent false positive risks disproportionately affecting multilingual and neurodivergent students. Policy analysis reveals fragmented governance landscapes where the EU's rights-based AI Act contrasts with the US's innovation-focused approach, yet both face enforcement challenges when detection technologies prove unreliable. The technological arms race between AI generation and detection capabilities suggests inherent limitations in purely technological solutions.

Conclusions and Pedagogical Implications: The study concludes that academic integrity in the AI era requires moving from adversarial detection toward collaborative education that helps students develop productive relationships with AI tools. The evidence demonstrates that detection-based approaches alone are insufficient and potentially discriminatory, necessitating fundamental pedagogical transformation. Pedagogical implications include implementing adaptive assessment designs that resist automation through complexity rather than secrecy, developing comprehensive AI literacy programs for both students and educators, and adopting frameworks like the Stoplight Model that provide clear guidance for appropriate AI use across different learning contexts. Institutions must prioritize equity considerations, ensuring that AI integration does not exacerbate existing educational disparities. This requires developing detection tools that account for linguistic diversity, creating accessible AI literacy programs, and implementing policies that protect vulnerable populations from algorithmic bias. The transformation demands that educators become AI-literate themselves, understanding both capabilities and limitations to design assignments that complement rather than compete with AI. Future educational success depends on cultivating uniquely human capabilities—critical thinking, ethical reasoning, creative synthesis—that AI cannot replicate while leveraging AI as a thinking partner rather than replacement for thought.

Keywords: academic integrity, generative AI, AI detection, plagiarism, educational policy

References

- *Academic integrity* (n.d.). University of Sheffield. https://sheffield.ac.uk/study-skills/assessment/academic-integrity/academic-integrity
- Bordalejo, B., Pafumi, D., Onuh, F., Khalid, A. K. M. I., Pearce, M. S., & O'Donnell, D. P. (2025). "Scarlet Cloak and the Forest Adventure": A preliminary study of the impact of AI on commonly used writing tools. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *22*(6). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00505-5

- Chounta, I.-A., Cyndecka, M., Dimitrova, V., Holmes, W., Kralj, L., Persson, J., Vicente, P. N., & Wasson, B. (2024). *The state of artificial intelligence and education across Europe: The results of a survey of Council of Europe member states*. Council of Europe. https://rm.coe.int/the-state-of-artificial-intelligence-and-education-accross-europe-the-/1680b29929
- Council of Europe (2024). *Artificial intelligence and education: A critical view through the lens of human rights, democracy and the rule of law: 1st working conference, 18–19 October 2022: Conference highlights*. https://rm.coe.int/artificial-intelligence-and-education-1st-working-conference-conferenc/1680b29998
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union (2024). *Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024*. Official Journal of the European Union. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1689/oj
- Freeman, J. (2025). *Student Generative AI Survey 2025*. Higher Education Policy Institute. https://www.hepi.ac.uk/2025/02/26/student-generative-ai-survey-2025/
- *For students: Standard of conduct for academic integrity* (n.d.). University of Missouri, Office of Academic Integrity. https://oai.missouri.edu/students/
- *Generative AI: Encouraging academic integrity* (2025). University of Pittsburgh, University Center for Teaching and Learning. https://teaching.pitt.edu/resources/encouraging-academic-integrity/
- Goodier, M. (2025). *Revealed: Thousands of UK university students caught cheating using AI*. The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/education/2025/jun/15/thousands-of-uk-university-students-caught-cheating-using-ai-artificial-intelligence-surveyl
- *Guidance on the appropriate use of generative artificial intelligence in graduate theses*. (2025). University of Toronto, School of Graduate Studies. https://www.sgs.utoronto.ca/about/guidance-on-the-use-of-generative-artificial-intelligence/
- Hallikaar, V. (2025). *Western N.Y. student's AI use accusation questions validity, raises concerns*. Spectrum Local News. https://spectrumlocalnews.com/nys/central-ny/news/2025/05/14/ub-student-says-false-ai-use-accusation-caused-stress--inspired-petition
- Havinga, B., Holmes, W., & Persson, J. (2024). *Regulating the use of artificial intelligence systems in education*. Council of Europe. https://www.coe.int/en/web/education/-/regulating-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-systems-in-education
- Hirsch, A. (2024). *AI detectors: An ethical minefield*. Center for Innovative Teaching and Learning, Northern Illinois University. https://citl.news.niu.edu/2024/12/12/ai-detectors-an-ethical-minefield/
- Holmes, W., Persson, J., Chounta, I.-A., Wasson, B., & Dimitrova, V. (2022). *Artificial intelligence and education: A critical view through the lens of human rights, democracy and the rule of law*. Council of Europe Publishing.
- Kim, M. (2025). *AI begins in the classroom: Sweden commits to major overhaul of education system*. Sweden Herald. https://swedenherald.com/article/ai-begins-in-the-classroom-sweden-commits-to-major-overhaul-of-education-system

- *London school launches UK's first teacherless classroom*. (2024). Education Today. https://education-today.co.uk/london-school-launches-uks-first-teacherless-classroom/
- Lucas, C. (2025, May 29). *Reimagining assessment design in the era of AI*. UNSW Sydney. https://www.education.unsw.edu.au/news-events/events/reimagining-assessment-design-era-ai
- Mormando, S. (2023). *A stoplight model for guiding student AI usage*. Edutopia. https://www.edutopia.org/article/creating-ai-usage-guidelines-students
- Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (2023). *Reconsidering assessment for the ChatGPT era: QAA advice on developing sustainable assessment strategies*. https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/members/reconsidering-assessment-for-the-chat-gpt-era.pdf
- Swisher, D., & Els, A. (2024). Rethinking assessment in light of generative AI. *C2C Digital Magazine*. https://scalar.usc.edu/works/c2c-digital-magazine-fall-2023--winter-2024/rethinking-assessment-in-light-of-generative-ai
- The White House (2025). *Winning the race: America's AI action plan*. https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf
- Yiakup, F. (2024). *AI in Swedish education: From vision to reality*. AI Sweden. https://my.ai.se/resources/3799