Рад примљен: 18. 1. 2016. Рад прихваћен: 30. 3. 2016.



Brian Doig¹, Susie Groves, Colleen Vale, Wanty Widjaja

Deakin University, School of Education, Melbourne, Australia

Кратки научни прилог

doi: 10.5937/inovacije1602089D

Japanese Lesson Study: A Numeracy Coach's View

Abstract: This paper reports findings based on interview data from a professional teacher educator who was a Numeracy Coach for a group of schools that participated in a research project, Implementing structured problem-solving mathematics lessons through Lesson Study. The Numeracy Coach was a higly-skilled professional teacher educator, whose position was such that she was both a support person to the teachers and a participant in the project. Her insights into the processes and effects of Japanese Lesson Study, on teachers, as well as herself, are extremely enlightening, and form the data for this paper. While the evidence is from a single source, the evidence is consistent with other projects into the effectiveness of the Japanese model of Lesson Study. Aspects of the project with implications for teacher professional development are detailed.

Keywords: Japanese Lesson Study, Numeracy coaches, Teacher professional development.

Introduction

This paper reports on some of the findings of the *Implementing structured problem-solving mathematics lessons through Lesson Study* project, funded by Deakin University. The practice of Lesson Study has been recognized, world-wide, since it first came to attention through the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) video study (Stigler, *et al.*, 1999), as being the best example of effective professional development (Vermunt, 2014; Dudley, 2015). There has been enormous growth

of Lesson Study activity in the USA (Lewis, *et al.*, 2006), with more recent large-scale adoption in the UK (Dudley, 2015), South-East Asian countries, many African countries, and Australia (e.g. Widjaja and Vale, 2013).

As part of this project, participating teachers and a Numeracy coach were interviewed throughout the Lesson Study cycles, and the perspective of the Numeracy coach, a subject specialist, on the effects of Lesson Study, is the basis of this paper.

Japanese Lesson Study

To the casual observer, Japanese Lesson Study may seem like a simple idea. Teachers with a common focus meet and plan lessons together. These lessons may have a focus on building skills or understanding, and are known as "research lessons", which are taught by one teacher, and observed by, not only all of the teachers who are doing the planning, but also by observers who, at one end of the spectrum, may come only from the teachers' own school, or, at the other end, may come from all over Japan (see, for example, Lewis and Tsuchida, 1998). A de-briefing session (post lesson discussion) follows the lesson, where the lesson is discussed at some length, with modifications often suggested by the observers, who normally include an invited academic or "veteran teacher", also known as the "knowledgeable other". This knowledgeable other summarises the discussion and provides supportive and educative comments on aspects of the research lesson (for a detailed account of the the role and practices of the "knowledgeable other" see Takahashi, 2014).

According to Lewis (2002), the four steps to creating and conducting a Lesson Study cycle are: collaborative planning by teachers; teaching or observing the lesson as it is taught; teacher discussion of the lesson; and reflection on the learning from the lesson. This closely resembles other suggestions for effective teacher professional development as found in Ricks (2011) on process reflection, and Joubert and Sutherland (2009) on effective Continuing Professional Development (CPD). For a more detailed description of Japanese Lesson Study, see Takahashi, 2006.

Thus, in the Japanese Lesson Study model, research lessons serve as the basis for teachers to be reflective practitioners, as they give and receive feedback, analyse students' learning, and reflect on lessons during a post-lesson discussion. Ricks (2011) claims that "teacher reflection is vital for teachers' development by generating knowledge grounded in practice" where reflection is "a process of developing

and testing ideas in the crucible of action" (p. 251). Further, Darling-Hammond et al., (2009) found that "intensive professional development, especially when it includes applications of knowledge to teachers' planning and instruction, has a greater chance of influencing teaching practices and, in turn, leading to gains in student learning" (p. 9). We contend that Japanese Lesson Study is a model of such effective professional development; is grounded in practice; and tests ideas in the crucible of the classroom. Further, it fits the Joubert and Sutherland (2009) finding that a "key recommendation emerging from the literature is that professional development programmes should be grounded in classroom practice, allowing teachers to experiment with new ideas and reflect on their experiences of doing so" (p. 21).

The Project

The *Implementing structured problem-solving mathematics lessons through Lesson Study* project ran from 2012 until 2014. The project was located in a metropolitan region of Melbourne Victoria.

Interviews were conducted on a regular basis with participants in the project, and the extracts reported here are drawn from two of the interviews with the Numeracy Coach. According to Xu and Pedder (2015) in their review of research into Lesson Study, there is an

absence of the kind of theoretical work necessary for explaining how and why teachers learn both collectively and individually in LS contexts, and how features of LS procedures and contexts support and contribute to the individual and collective learning of teachers in LS. (p. 48)

In this paper we attempt to address this absence through the examination of interview data provided by a professional teacher educator, employed by a group of primary and secondary schools to support and improve teachers' effectiveness in

mathematics. The interviews were conducted in 2012 and 2013, at the completion of Lesson Study cycles.

The Numeracy Coach

"In some jurisdictions coaches, also known as mentors or expert teachers, provide a critical role in the establishment of professional learning communities through the development of openness, trust, and teamwork among colleagues" (Kostogriz, et al., 2013, p.34). At the time of the project, "In each education region of Victoria networks of up to 25 primary and secondary schools in geographic proximity [had] been formed" (Vale, et al., 2010, p. 48) and in these networks, coaches "... support the school and middle level numeracy leaders, coach individual teachers in the teams and attend professional learning team (PLT) meetings" (Vale et al., 2010, p. 34). These Coaches were drawn from experienced classroom teachers, who, in the case of Paula (a pseudonym), was also an experienced mentor to teachers, and a well-known, and highly regarded presenter at mathematics education conferences.

In the light of her expertise and role within the network, it was fortunate for the Lesson Study research project that it had a Numeracy Coach as part of the team, as "after teachers and school leaders, coaches are the next most important change agents in schools (Fullan & Knight 2011). Successful coaches develop constructive relationships with individual teachers and build a culture of collaborative inquiry about their work (Knight 2011)" (cited by Kostogriz, *et al.*, 2013, p. 122).

Paula's perspective on the project, then, was from that of a professional teacher educator, although she participated also as a teacher member of a Lesson Study planning team. This meant that in her interviews she was able to comment more broadly than the teachers, and gave her impressions as a school-based, professional teacher educator. Yarema (2010) suggests that "Lesson Study"

... offers the opportunity for teacher-educators to study teachers' thinking in a community setting" (p. 5) and this was borne out by Paula's comments in her interviews. Further, because of her teacher educator background, Paula also had a strong focus on the procedures and contexts of the research project, as shown in her comments about the project cited below.

Personal effects

Her dual position as Coach and participant in the research project was problematic for Paula, for, as she says "I found it very challenging for myself in my role. I felt that I was sort of being very much directed [by the university team] not to share [my ideas or knowledge], but I found that really difficult when they [the teachers] came to a brick wall" (Interview 3 transcript, p. 5, Line 16). Also, she perceived other pressures from the university team: "when I actually trialled the lesson [and] ... I had shared [my findings] with the planning group ... it wasn't appreciated [by the university team]" (Interview 3 transcript, p. 5, Line 22).

Further, as her professional rôle was to mentor teachers, when trialling one of the mathematics tasks for a research lesson, she

had a different purpose for why I was doing the lesson, I actually modelled it with 25 by 3 and I had specific purposes of why I chose 25. ... that was the challenging bit and I suppose for myself that goes back to that I really wanted it [the project] to be much more about teachers building content knowledge. (Interview 3 transcript, p. 5, Line 27)

This is to say, she was intent on experimenting and trialling ideas for her rôle as Numeracy Coach, not simply trialling for the research lesson planning. Paula also found that the project had changed some of her own practices: "I definitely get kids to share and talk about their work, but now I'm very strategic with whom I'm selecting and in what order"

(Interview 3 transcript, p. 7, Line 18). This was in response to learning about anticipating student responses to tasks, and ordering responses in terms of their mathematical sophistication, as part of lesson planning, in the Japanese Lesson Study model.

The "knowledgeable other" who delivers the comments and concluding remarks in a post-lesson discussion provided Paula with insights into "how to be critical but not nasty" when commenting on teacher lessons, which she does as part of her coaching work. A further incidental benefit of the project, according to Paula, was that it raised her profile within the Netwok and enhanced her other Network numeracy work.

Teacher effects

Paula noticed the effects of the project on the participants, and made comments in her interviews about what she had noticed. There were four main themes. The first of these focused on the effects of professional readings. The research team provided professional readings about the Japanese model of Lesson Study to the participating teachers, which Paula saw as emphasizing how Lesson Study links research to practice, and thus can build, not only teacher pedagogical knowledge, but also teacher content knowledge.

Another theme was the high value put on of the sharing of experiences and resources, across schools, with a highlight being a first year teacher learning from a teacher with twenty years of experience.

Paula noted that trialling a task in one's class-room "really increased the discussion – it was funny because the people who hadn't trialed it, you could see really felt out of the discussion" (Interview 3 transcript, p. 5, Line 55). She suggested further that trialling the task was critically important, because it made teachers think about the implications of the language and about student responses to the task, a comment echoing Takahashi's (2006) statement that

In Japan, these anticipated solution methods will include not only the most efficient methods but also ones caused by students' misunderstandings. Thus, anticipating students' solution methods is a major part of lesson planning for Japanese teachers (p. 6).

This suggestion re-appeared in a comment about how anticipated responses, found in trialling, provided insights into student thinking that turned out, as in Japan, to be critical for effective lesson planning.

The effect on the teachers of trialling tasks with students, supports Timperley's (2008) suggestion that

Change appears to be promoted by a cyclical process in which teachers have their current assumptions challenged by the demonstration of effective alternative practice ... and observe resulting improvements in student outcomes. (p. 18).

Based on Paula's perceptions, it appears that actually trying something in one's own classroom can confirm, or not, what works, and provides evidence to convince others. In the case of trialling the research lesson task, it also meant that the planning team had evidence to support their discussion and lesson planning. Pauls also thought that a general benefit to the teachers who actually taught the research lesson was that they were now "noticed" by their colleagues and the school leadership, building their professional confidence.

Other effects

Paula's comments on broader aspects of benefits of the Japanese Lesson Study model focused on issues around collaboration. In particular, she saw teams across schools as a very valuable aspect of the project. Further, she also valued the team building highly – the idea of developing a culture of "we" rather than "I".

In terms of particular aspects of the Japanese Lesson study model, Paula emphasized the value of the lesson observers, including the "knowledgeable other", whose role is to offer insights into the lesson content and children's learning. The other observers re-inforce the team aspect of Lesson Study in that they, too, focus on the planning team, not the individual teacher. Overall, Paula saw involvement in the project as building teacher confidence, to the extent that "I actually saw them like little peacocks puffing out their chests, you know I'm here, I'm presenting, what I'm doing is really worthwhile, people have come to listen to me" (Interview 3 transcript, p. 2, Line 14). Further, although Paula could not establish a real causal link, she stated that

as a result [a teacher] is a really good example of someone who as a classroom teacher is doing a great job, but as a part of this project has actually been highlighted and now is the numeracy co-ordinator at the school. And the same thing happened to [another teacher] too, great classroom teacher but his practice was spotlighted as a result of the project (Interview 4 transcript, p. 2, Line 39)

For the leadership of the school, Paula thought that the Japanese Lesson Study model of professional learning introduced principals to a valuable model for their schools, and that they were now talking to their Lesson Study project teachers about what they were doing.

However, Paula raised one issue with respect to the Research Lessons. She, insightfully, pointed out that they should not be "one-off" lessons, but be part of the team's usual unit of work. In her view this would make the research lessons more meaningful in the context of the classroom. In fact, this was taken up when, later in the project, some research lessons were embedded in units of work.

Paula's final comment on the project was that "the hierarchy: university mentors, Network staff, principals, and classroom teachers, all helped make

this a unique and valuable experience" (Interview 4 transcript, p. 5, Line 32).

Conclusion

Reading through the transcripts of interviews with Paula, it is clear that she valued the collaboration engendered and supported by the Japanese model of Lesson Study very highly: her comments cited above demonstrate this too. This procedure of collaboration showed in team building, the "we" not "I", and the sharing of experiences between teachers with vastly different numbers of years of teaching experience.

Another process in the Japanese model of Lesson Study that was considered productive was the trialling of the research lesson tasks with students not in the research lesson class. The evidence of student responses to the task that these trials provided stimulated discussion and gave the lesson planning team a basis for the anticipated student responses section of the lesson plan, as well as for the organization of the whole class discussion.

The context that builds confidence is found in lesson planning with colleagues, whether across, or within, schools, working on a joint project, and going public in a safe, supportive environment. The public research lesson is possibly the most confronting aspect of a Lesson Study cycle: yet Paula did not comment on this aspect of the cycle, apparently because the teachers did not express or exhibit any concerns.

Benefits to teachers in Lesson Study projects is not limited to improved content knowledge, but is also found in increased confidence, and a raised professional profile with one's colleagues.

The over-arching context for Lesson Study in this project was supportive principals who re-organized classes and provided time for lesson planning team meetings, and, again, in Paula's words, "the hierarchy: university mentors, Network staff, principals, and classroom teachers, [who] all helped make

this a unique and valuable experience" (Interview 4 transcript, p. 5, Line 32).

Implications for practice

While this paper reports the view of a single person, the professional position and experience of that person cannot be discounted. Quite clearly Paula valued some aspects of the Japanese Lesson Study model more than others. The most valuable aspects can be summed up as: support from the school leadership and outside mentors, trialling lesson tasks in order to generate anticipated student responses, and collegiality in lesson planning.

Each of these is multi-faceted, and have different implications for other groups of teachers. The support of the school leadership is, undoubtedly, vital: classes may need to be re-arranged for planning meetings, teachers may need to visit another school if the planning team is across schools, and so on.

Mentors, with the necessary experience may not be easy to find, or are too busy to help. Trialling lesson tasks, is much more straight forward, and has benefits, rather than posing difficulties. It provides teachers with ideas about student responses, and makes planning a lesson less of a risk of failure. The implications are that everyone could, and perhaps should, trial new ideas in their own classroom, and then discuss the results with their colleagues.

Collegiality may be the hardest of the three valuable aspects of implementing the Japanese model of Lesson Study to achieve successfully. This is the single aspect that requires the most effort, and it requires effort from all the teaching and leadership staff. However, given the almost universal recommendations for successful and effective professional development for educators have collegiality as a *sine qua non* (see, for example, Darling-Hammond & Richardson, 2009) we may not have a choice but to achieve collegiality.

References

- Darling-Hammond, L., & Richardson, N. (2009). Teacher learning: What matters? *Educational Leadershp*, 66(5), 46-55.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Wei, R., Andree, A., Richardson, N., & Orphanos, S. (2009). *Professional learning in the learning profession: A status report on teacher development in the United States and abroad.* Retrieved from www.nsdc.org/news/NSDCstudy2009.pdf.
- Dudley, P. (Ed.). (2015). Lesson study: Professional learning for our time. Abingdon, Oxon, UK: Routledge.
- Fullan, M., & Knight, J. (2011). Coaches as system leaders. Educational Leadership, 69(2), 50-53.
- Joubert, M. V., & Sutherland, R. J. (2009). A perspective on the literature: CPD for teachers of mathematics. National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics.
- Knight, J. (2011). What good coaches do. Educational Leadership, 69(2), 18-22.
- Kostogriz, A., Vale, C., Auld, G., Blake, D., Bragg, L., Ohi, S., . . . Williams, G. (2013). The Literacy and Numeracy Strategy Literature Review (June, 2013 ed., pp. 128). Melbourne, Victoria: Centre for Research in Educational Futures and Innovation (CREFI), Deakin University.
- Lewis, C. (2002). Lesson Study: A handbook of teacher-led instructional change. Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools (RBS).
- Lewis, C., Perry, R., & Murata, A. (2006). How should research contribute to instructional improvement? The case of lesson study. *Educational Researcher*, *35*(3), 3-14.

- Lewis, C., & Tsuchida, I. (1998). A lesson is like a swiftly flowing river: Research lessons and the improvement of Japanese education. *American Educator*, (Winter), 14-17 & 50-52.
- Ricks, T. (2011). Process reflection during Japanese lesson study experiences by prospective secondary mathematics teachers. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 14(4), 251–267.
- Stigler, J. W., Gonzalez, P., Kawanaka, T., Knoll, S., & Serrano, A. (1999). *The TIMSS videotape classroom study: Methods and findings from an explanatory research project on eighth-grade mathematics instruction in Germany, Japan and the United States.* Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- Takahashi, A. (2006). Characteristics of Japanese mathematics lessons. Retrieved from http://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/sympo_2006/takahashi.pdf
- Takahashi, A. (2014). The role of the knowledgeable other in Lesson Study: Examining the final comments of experienced Lesson Study practitioners. Mathematics Teacher Education and Development, 16(1), 4-21.
- Timperley, H. (2008). Teacher Professional Learning and Development: (Vol. 18). Brussels, Belgium: International Academy of Education.
- Vale, C., Davies, A., Weaven, M., Hooley, N., Davidson, K., & Loton, D. (2010). Leadership to improve mathematics outcomes in low SES schools and school networks. Mathematics Teacher Education and Development, 12(2), 47-71.
- Vermunt, J. (2014, November). How teachers learn in Lesson Study. Plenary address at the World Association of Lesson Studies Annual International Conference (WALS), 2014. Bandung, Indonesia.
- Widjaja, W., & Vale, C. (2013). *Deepening teachers' understanding of content and students' thinking: The case of Japanese Lesson Study in Australian primary classrooms.* Paper presented at The 6th East Asia Regional Conference on Mathematics Education (EARCOME 6): Innovations and Exemplary Practices in Mathematics Education, Phuket, Thailand.
- Xu, H., & Pedder, D. (2015). Lesson Study: an international review of the research. In P. Dudley (Ed.), *Lesson Study: Professional learning for our time* (pp. 29-58). Abingdon, Oxon, UK: Routledge.
- Yarema, C. (2010). Mathematics teachers' views of accountability testing revealed through Lesson Study. Mathematics Teacher Education and Development, 12(13-18).

Брајан Доиг, Сузи Грувз Колин Вејл, Венти Видзаја

Деакин Универзитет, Педагошки факултет, Мелбурн, Аустралија

Јапанска "студија часа": осврт инструктора рачунања

Резиме: У овом раду йокушавамо да исйишамо који асйекши сшдујије јайанскої часа имају ушицаја на насшавнички рад, анализирањем йодашака са иншервјуа који су добијени од йрофесионалної инсшрукшура насшавника, који је зайослен у їруйи основних и средљих школа да би йобољшао ефикасносш насшавника йриликом држања насшаве машемашике. Иншервјуи су вођени шоком 2012. и 2013. їодине, йо завршешку циклуса Сшудија часа а у циљу Имйлеменшације сшрукшуралної решавања машемашичких йроблема кроз йројекаш Сшудија часа, који је шрајао од 2012. до 2014. у школама које се налазе у мешройолишском реїиону Мелбурна у Аусшралији.

Подаци иншервјуа, који су овде објављени, узеши су из два иншервјуа са инсшрукшуром рачунања. Инсрукшуи рачунања су били искусни насшавници, али у случају Поле (йсеудоним), инсшрукшорка рачунања је имала искусшва и као меншор насшавницима и била је цењени сшручњак из обласши машемашичкої образовања. У свешлу њене ексйершизе и улоїе у оквиру школских йројекаша, било је веома важно да се исшраже йројекши на којима је била ова инсшрукшорка рачунања као члан шима. Полино виђење циљева йројекша је виђење йрофесионалної инсшрукшура насшавника. То је занчило да је у иншервјуима давала шире од оворе не о насшавници и саойшшаала је своје ушиске као инсшрукшор насшавника који ради у школи.

Пола је йримешила ефекше йројекша на учесницима и сшавила је коменшаре у иншервјуу о шоме шша је йримешила. Једана од Полиних ойсервација шицала се сшручних чланака. Исшраживачки шим је йронашао сшручне чланке о јайанској Сшудији часа за насшавнике који су учесшвовали, које је и Пола видела као исшицање како се Сшудија часа йовезује са йраксом и шако може да сшвори, не само насшавничко йедатошко знање, већ и садржај знања насшавника.

Још један асйекш који је йокренула Пола је био да високо вредновани учесници буду у моїућносши да деле искусшво и изворе, међу школама и између насшавника, са наїласком да насшавници који су йочешници буду са насшавницима који имају двадесеш ї одина искусшва.

Пола је йримешила, да је исйробавајући задашак у својој учионици, йодсшакла насшавнике да се више дубе у дискусије шоком сасшанака на којима су се йланирали часови. Предложила је да је исйробавање задашка кришички важно јер је шо нашерало насшавнике да размишљају о имиликацијама језика и сшуденшским одговирма на йланиране задашке. Даље Полине ойсервације су се шицале значаја йодршке коју су йружали дирекшори школа, за сасшанке на којима се йравио йлан часова, реорганизовањем школског времена и часова. Према Поли, колегијалносш може да буде најзахшевнији асйекш јайанског модела Сшудије часа, у циљу усйешне имилеменшације и захшеа йодршку и йодсшицај школске уйраве.

Свеобухвашне Полине ойсервације и йромашрања наїлашавају чинњеницу да искусни инсшруктор наставника може да буде критички фактор за идентификацију учења и

развоја насшавника кроз искусшва Сшудије часа. На йример, значај йробних задашка часа је у шоме шшо насшавници добијају идеје о од оворима сшуденаша и чине да йланирање часа буде шшо боље. Имйликације су шакве да сви мо ју, и можда шреба да исйробавају нове идеје у учиници које је Пола йрихашила у свом раду. Пола је дала иншересаншан коменшар о сарадњи насшавника у шоку сасшанака йрийремања часова, да су се језичке консшуркције насшавника йеоменила од "ја" на "ми".

Коншексш у коме се изірађује йовререње насшавника је у йлаирању часова са колеїама, било да је шо са колеїама из друїих школа или у својој шокли, или са клеїама који рад на заједничком йројекшу и који јавно насшуйају у сиїрном окружењу које йружа и йодршу. Јавно исшражвање часа је веровашно најшежи асйекш циклуса Сшудије часа: ийак Пола није коменшариасала овај аскеш циклуса, очиїледно збої шоїа шшо насавници који су били укључени нису изражавали или йоказивали било какве недоумице. Шша више, добробиш за насшавнике у оквиру ової йројекша није био оїаничен на йобољшање садржајної знања, већ у већем йоверењу и шшо су колеїе йрофесионално цениле више једни друїе.

Кључне речи: јайанска "сшудија часа", меншорски рад, инсшрукшор, йрофесионални развој насшавника.