



Vladimir V. Findak¹

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Kinesiology, Republic of Croatia

**Short
scientific review**

Ivan A. Prskalo

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Teacher Education, Republic of Croatia

Josip I. Babin

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Kinesiology, Republic of Croatia

Paper received: Apr 26 2017

Paper accepted: Sep 10 2017

Article Published: Sep 10 2018

The Quality of Kinesiology Education – a Prerequisite for Survival

Extended summary

Change is a significant characteristic of the times we live in. As changes are reflected on the entire population, the response to them should be appropriate., which also reflects the society's responsibility towards each individual. According to Rosić (1999), education is the most complex and most responsible human activity, and of "all areas of applied kinesiology, the quality of work in kinesiology education has the greatest impact on the entire population, because this area is comprehensive and monitors a human being from the preschool age to higher education" (Prskalo & Babin, 2006, p. 26). In accordance with this possibility and the imperative of influence, it has become a paradigm of optimal response to contemporary trends, especially the negative ones. The positive impact of physical exercise on a person's anthropological status is hardly comparable to any human activity (Hardman, 2002; Jensen, 2003; Findak, Mraković, & Prskalo, 2003; Findak & Prskalo, 2005; Findak & Neljak, 2006), therefore, the correlation between physical exercise and motor and functional abilities, health status and the quality of living conditions should not be ignored (Vuori, 2004; Warburton, Nicol, & Bredin, 2006; Horst, Paw, & Twisk, 2007). Applied scientific studies and their consistent application in educational practice are quality requirements in this critical area. An overview of experiences that contribute to the quality of kinesiol-

¹ hssr@zg.ht.hr

Copyright © 2018 by the authors, licensee Teacher Education Faculty University of Belgrade, SERBIA.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original paper is accurately cited.

ogy education as a prerequisite for survival indicates that special attention should be dedicated to the status of anthropological features as an essential precondition of individualization, but also to the consequences of an individual approach to its optimization. Organizational forms of work, i.e. their quantitative representation, also have a significant role, especially since according to the recommendation of the Croatian Association of Kinesiology Teaching Methodologists the number of physical and health education lessons should be considerably higher than it is today. The significance of physical and health education area is in line with its goals, which can be achieved through the application of curricular, extracurricular and out-of-school organizational forms of work in the basic and differentiated programme (Findak, 1992, 1999). At the same time, it should not be neglected that a lesson is the basic organizational form of work in the Physical and Health Education curriculum, which can therefore not be replaced by anything else, including any other organizational form of work (Findak, Prskalo, & Babin, 2011). It is clear that the excellence and availability of curricular and extracurricular forms of work are a prerequisite of the quality of work in kinesiology education. Its importance is especially emphasized in the context of contemporary living conditions with the presence of obesity in children being a proven precondition of pathological conditions in adulthood, while cardiovascular diseases have become the primary cause of mortality in this generation (Ćorić & Miler, 2013). On the other hand, exercise is stressed as a factor contributing to the reduction of obesity (Mišigoj-Duraković, Duraković, Ružić, Findak, & Delija, 2003; Flynn, McNeil, Maloff, Mutsingwa, Wu, Ford, & Tough, 2006). Adequate application of the modality of work according to paradigm verified on multiple occasions is also an important factor of individualization as well as the quality of work in kinesiology education. Changes in the environment in which children grow, develop and mature, require a different approach to teaching, although unnecessary use of technology can undermine the fundamental purpose of kinesiology education (Jurak, Prskalo, & Babin, 2015). Taking all this into account, the society's response to the ever-present challenges imposed on the modern civilization must be in line with the progress of kinesiology as fundamental science, and kinesiology teaching methodology as its applied discipline, especially when considering the high "stakes", it has to be emphasized that only the best response is good enough.

Bearing in mind the importance of movement, and in particular of kinesiology activities, the significance and responsibility of kinesiology education is increasing daily, and especially because of the contemporary changes in living conditions. Namely, the optimal response of the society to changes in living conditions needs to be primarily transmitted through the applied field, which is the most responsible for the state of the overall population, in other words, through education. This optimization should principally relate to a frequent application of all organizational forms of work in physical and health education areas, with particular emphasis on the basic organizational form of work - Physical and Health Education lesson. The application of modalities of work which are appropriate to the characteristics of children, pupils and university students, and the use of contemporary teaching technology will contribute to the individualization of work, and consequently the appropriate functioning of the school subject as an epicentre of education, will contribute significantly to the quality of work in this area.

Keywords: quality, kinesiology education, anthropological characteristics, physical education class, individualization.

References

- Bavčević, T., Babin, J. & Prskalo, I. (2006). Complex group organizational forms – an optimizing factor in Physical education instruction. *Kinesiology*. 38 (1), 28–39.
- Čorić, T., Miler, A. (2013). *Izvješće o umrlim osobama u Hrvatskoj u 2012. godini*. Zagreb: Hrvatski zavod za javno zdravstvo.
- Findak, V. (1992). *Metodika tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture*. Zagreb: Školska knjiga.
- Findak, V. (1995). *Metodika tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture u predškolskom odgoju*. Zagreb: Školska knjiga.
- Findak, V. (1999). *Metodika tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture*. Zagreb: Školska knjiga.
- Findak, V. (2001.). Kineziološki pogledi na uspješnu školu. U: *Zbornik radova Uspješna škola* (23–31). Zagreb: Hrvatski pedagoško-književni zbor.
- Findak, V., Mraković, M., Prskalo, I. (2003). Kineziološki vidici uloge učitelja u razvoju djeteta i škole. U: Prskalo, I. i Vučak, S. (ur.) *Zbornik radova. Treći dani Mate Demarina: Učitelj – učenik – škola* (36–43). Petrinja: Visoka učiteljska škola Petrinja; Hrvatski pedagoško-književni zbor.
- Findak, V., Neljak, B. (2006). Kvaliteta rada u područjima edukacije, sporta i sportske rekreacije. U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „15. ljetna škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“* (16–23). Rovinj: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
- Findak, V., Neljak, B. (2010). Individualizacija rada u područjima edukacije, sporta i sportske rekreacije. U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „19. ljetna škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“* (14–20). Poreč: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
- Findak, V. & Prskalo, I. (2011). Kinesiological prevention – an important factor in the integrative power of kinesiology. In: Milanović, D. & Sporiš, G. (Eds.) *6th International Scientific Conference on Kinesiology – Integrative power of kinesiology* (223–225). Opatija: Faculty of kinesiology, University of Zagreb.
- Findak, V., Prskalo, I., Babin, J. (2011). *Sat tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture u primarnoj edukaciji*. Zagreb: Učiteljski fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu.
- Findak, V., Prskalo, I. & Pejčić, A. (2003). Additional exercise as an efficiency factor in physical education lessons. *Kinesiology*. 35 (2), 143–154.
- Findak, V., Prskalo, I., Ružić, E. & Šerbetar, I. (2005). Kinesiology science and profession in functionof quality school. In: Milanović, D. & Prot, F. (Eds.) *4. Internacional scientific conference on kinesiology* (76–77). Opatija: Faculty of kinesiology, University of Zagreb.
- Flynn, M. A. T., McNeil, D. A., Maloff, B., Mutsingwa, D., Wu, M., Ford, C. & Tough, S. C. (2006). Reducing obesity and related chronic disease risk in children and youth: a synthesis of evidence with „best practice“ recommendations. *Obesity Reviews*. 7 (Suppl. 1), 7–66.
- Hardman, K. (2000). Športna vzgoja in šolski šport in Evropi. U: *Zbornik radova Razvojne smjernice športne vzgoje* (9–23). Nova Gorica: Zveza društev športnih pedagogov Slovenije.
- Horgan, G. (2005). Healthier lifestyles series: 1. Exercise for children. *Journal of Family Health Care*. 15 (1), 15–7.

-
- Horst, K. V. D., Paw, M. J. C. A., Twisk, J. W. R. & Van Mechelen, W. (2007). A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 39 (8), 1241–1250.
 - Jensen, E. (2003.). *Super-nastava*. Zagreb: Educa.
 - Jurak, G., Prskalo, I., Babin, J. (2015). Uloga suvremenih informacijskih komunikacijskih tehnologija u kvaliteti tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture. U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „22. ljetne škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“ – Primjena i utjecaj novih tehnologija na kvalitetu rada u područjima edukacije, sporta, sportske rekreativne i kineziterapije* (18–33). Poreč: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
 - Ministarstvo znanosti, obrazovanja i športa (2011). *Nacionalni okvirni kurikulum za predškolski odgoj i obrazovanje te opće obvezno i srednjoškolsko obrazovanje*. Zagreb: Ministarstvo znanosti, obrazovanja i športa.
 - Mišigoj-Duraković, M., Duraković, Z., Ružić, L., Findak, V., Delija K. (2003). Čimbenici opasnosti za razvoj bolesti srca i krvožilja u kineziologa. U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „12. ljetne škole kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“ – Metode rada u području edukacije, sporta i sport-ske rekreativne* (42–47). Rovinj: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
 - Prskalo, I. (2007). Kineziološki sadržaji i slobodno vrijeme učenica i učenika mlađe školske dobi. *Odgojne znanosti*. 9, 2 (14), 319–331.
 - Prskalo, I. (2015). Kinesiology of free time. *Croatian Journal of Education*. 17 (1), 219–228.
 - Prskalo, I., Babin, J. (2006). Kvaliteta rada u području edukacije. U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „15. ljetna škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“* (26–34). Rovinj: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
 - Prskalo, I., Babin, J. (2008). Stanje i perspektiva razvoja u području edukacije. U: Neljak, B. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „17. ljetna škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“* (30–41). Rovinj: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
 - Prskalo, I., Babin, J. (2010). Individualizacija rada u području edukacije U: Findak, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova „19. ljetna škola kineziologa Republike Hrvatske“* (22–35). Poreč: Hrvatski kineziološki savez.
 - Prskalo, I., Findak, V., Babin, J. (2003). Uspješnost metoda učenja u nastavi tjelesne i zdravstvene kulture mlađe školske dobi. *Napredak*. 144 (4) 486–493.
 - Prskalo, I., Sporiš, G. (2016). *Kineziologija*. Zagreb: Školska knjiga; Učiteljski fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu; Kineziološki fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu.
 - Rosić, V. (1999). Nastavnik – čimbenik kvalitete odgoja i obrazovanja: U: Rosić, V. (ur.). *Zbornik radova. Drugi međunarodni znanstveni kolokvij – Nastavnik čimbenik kvalitete u odgoju i obrazovanju* (1–10). Rijeka: Filozofski fakultet Sveučilišta u Rijeci.
 - Vuori, I. (2004). Physical inactivity is a cause and physical activity is a remedy for major public health problems. *Kinesiology*. 36 (2), 123–153.
 - Warburton, D. E., Nicol, C. W. & Bredin, S. S. (2006). Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ*. 174 (6), 801–809.