



**Jasmina M. Arsenijević<sup>1</sup>**

**Preschool Teacher Training College in Kikinda, Serbia**

**Milica J. Andevski**

**University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Philosophy, Novi Sad, Serbia**

**Original  
research paper**

Paper received: May 9 2022  
Paper accepted: Sep 5 2022  
Article Published: Oct 25 2022

## ***Online University Instruction During the Covid 19 Pandemic in Relation to the Domain of Education***

### **Extended summary**

The Covid 19 pandemic has led to some major changes and radical reorganization of traditional higher education, its closure, and its rapid transition to online teaching. Such changes led to inevitable problems, especially in the aspect of organization and facing difficulties in adapting to new working conditions by university staff and students. The students faced many challenges, such as a lack of self-regulation, technological literacy and training for using online distance learning platforms, as well as isolation. The teachers faced some challenges regarding the lack of technological competence and the lack of digital educational resources. From a university perspective, technological infrastructure, teacher training, and technological support represented the main problems.

The theoretical model for the analysis of online teaching, which has received a lot of attention, is the so-called Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework. Online teaching in which a community of inquiry has been built enables learning based on inquiry and knowledge sharing and integrates a collaborative and constructivist approaches to learning. It includes three dimensions: teaching presence, social presence, and cognitive presence.

This paper presents an international study of online teaching in higher education in relation to the field of education. The aim of the research was to examine the differences in the dimensions of online teaching in relation to the field of education. This quantitative research was conducted from October 2021 to January 2022. A customized version of a well-known, multiple-tested questionnaire for the assessment of Community of Inquiry was used.

---

<sup>1</sup> d.vasiljevic123@gmail.com

Copyright © 2022 by the authors, licensee Teacher Education Faculty University of Belgrade, SERBIA.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original paper is accurately cited.

---

The data collected from 808 students were processed by appropriate statistical methods: principal component analysis, internal consistency measurement expressed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient, f and Kruskal-Wallis test. Although the instrument has been modified, all three factors fully correspond to the theoretical model and replicate the factor structure of the original questionnaire, indicating its reliability and validity. High reliability of the entire research instrument ( $\alpha=0,941$ ) was determined.

The research was conducted among students of universities in six different countries: Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Slovenia, Romania and Russia. In the observed sample, the most of the teaching process was carried out online. The results of the statistical analysis showed that there are statistically significant differences in online teaching and in its three dimensions in relation to the field of education. The field of social sciences and humanities consistently records the highest results in online teaching, followed by the field of engineering and technology, natural sciences and mathematics, art and interdisciplinary studies, while the lowest scores were found in the field of medical sciences. The evaluation of the dimensions of online teaching indicates that there are special characteristics of each educational field which online teaching implemented during the pandemic, with its universal attitude towards educational contents, has not recognized.

The research results lead to the conclusion, confirmed by the experience of the developed countries with online teaching, that a sustainable quality of teaching process requires a careful combination of work online and in vivo. The integration of traditional and online learning, which includes virtual research opportunities and workshops, is advised. In this approach, the challenges of learning in higher education after the pandemic could be resolved. The lessons, ideas and experiences gained through the rapid implementation of online teaching during the pandemic will become new standards in post-pandemic times and an inevitable guide for future education.

**Keywords:** online teaching, dimensions of online teaching, higher education, students

## References

- Adnan, M. & Anwar, K. (2020). Online learning amid the Covid-19 pandemic: Students' perspectives. *Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology*, 2 (1), 45-51.
- Akyol, Z. & Garrison, D. R. (2011). Understanding cognitive presence in an online and blended community of inquiry: Assessing outcomes and processes for deep approaches to learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42 (2), 233-250.
- Anderson, T. (2011). *The theory and practice of online learning (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition)*. Edmonton, AB: AU Press.
- Arbaugh, J. B., Cleveland-Innes, M., Diaz, S. R., Garrison, D., Ice, P., Richardson, J. C. & Swan, K. P. (2008). Developing a community of inquiry instrument: Testing a measure of the Community of Inquiry framework using a multi-institutional sample. *Internet And Higher Education*, 11 (3-4), 133-136.

- 
- Arsenijević, J. (2021). Kvalitet onlajn nastave visokog obrazovanja u vreme pandemije Covid-19. U: Arsenijević, J. i Krneta, Lj. (ur.). *Kvalitet i efektivnost online nastave u visokom obrazovanju u vreme pandemije Covid-19* (45–61). Kikinda: Visoka škola strukovnih studija za obrazovanje vaspitača u Kikindi.
  - Asgari, S., Trajkovic, J., Rahmani, M., Zhang, W., Lo, R. & Sciortino, A. (2021). An observational study of engineering online education during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*, 16 (4), e0250041. <https://doi.10.1371/journal.pone.0250041>
  - Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *The Annual Review of Psychology*, 52 (1), 1–26.
  - Brinson, J. R. (2015). Learning outcome achievement in nontraditional (virtual and remote) versus traditional (hands-on) laboratories: A review of the empirical research. *Computers & Education*, 87, 218.
  - Dewey, J. (1933). *How We Think: A Restatement of the Relation of Reflective Thinking to the Educative Process*. Boston, MA: D.C. Heath & Co Publishers.
  - Garrison, D. R. (2009). Communities of Inquiry in Online Learning: Social, Teaching and Cognitive Presence. In: Howard, C. et al. (Eds.). *Encyclopedia of distance and online learning* (352–355). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
  - Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T. & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2, 87–105.
  - Garrison, D. R., Cleveland-Innes, M. & Fung, T. S. (2009). Exploring causal relationships among teaching, cognitive and social presence: Student perceptions of the community of inquiry framework. *The Internet and Higher Education*. <https://doi.10.1016/j.iheduc.2009.10.002>
  - Gojkov Rajić, A., Šafran, J. (2019). Mišljenje studenata o primeni MOODLE platforme za učenje stranog jezika struke. *Inovacije u nastavi*, 22 (2), 135–150.
  - Grodotzki, J., Upadhy, S. & Tekkaya, E. (2021). Advances in Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering. *Advances in Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering*, 3, e100058.
  - Husnaini, S. J. & Chen, S. (2019). Effects of guided inquiry virtual and physical laboratories on conceptual understanding, inquiry performance, scientific inquiry self-efficacy, and enjoyment. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 15, e010119.
  - Geoffrey, A. F. (2013). An Early Report Card on Massive Open Online Courses. *Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved March 28, 2022. from [www: http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB1005672](http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB1005672).
  - Klein, P., Ivanjek, L., Dahlkemper, M. N., Jeličić, K., Geyer, M. A., Küchemann, S. & Susac, A. (2021). Studying physics during the COVID-19 pandemic: Student assessments of learning achievement, perceived effectiveness of online recitations, and online laboratories. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 17, e010117. <https://doi.10.1103/PhysRevPhysEduRes.17.010117>
  - Lau, Y., Ming Tang, Y., Yin Chau, K., Vyas, L., Sandoval-Hernandez, A. & Wong, S. (2021). COVID-19 Crisis: Exploring Community of Inquiry in Online Learning for Sub-Degree Students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, e679197. <https://doi.10.3389/fpsyg.2021.679197>
-

- 
- Laubert, T., Thomaschewski, M., Auerswald, P., Zimmermann, M., Brühem, L. & Keck, T. (2018). Implementation of a Laparoscopic Simulation Training in Undergraduate Medical Education – The Lübeck Toolbox-Curriculum. *Zentralblatt für Chirurgie*, 143, 412–418.
  - Lorenza, L. & Carter, D. (2021). Emergency online teaching during COVID-19: A case study of Australian tertiary students in teacher education and creative arts. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2, e100057. <http://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100057>
  - Mađarev, M. (2021). Nastava u zoni sumraka. U: Arsenijević, J. i Krneta, Lj. (ur.). *Kvalitet i efektivnost onlajn nastave u visokom obrazovanju u vreme pandemije Covid-19* (63–74). Kikinda: Visoka škola strukovnih studija za obrazovanje vaspitača u Kikindi.
  - Muthuprasad, T., Aiswarya, S., Aditya, K. S. & Jha, G. K. (2021). Students' perception and preference for online education in India during COVID -19 pandemic. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 3 (1). <https://doi.10.1016/j.ssaho.2020.100101>
  - Planjanin Simić, K. (2021). Analiza onlajn nastave u visokom obrazovanju u okviru muzička umetnosti u vreme pandemije Kovid-19. U: Arsenijević, J. i Krneta, Lj. (ur.). *Kvalitet i efektivnost online nastave u visokom obrazovanju u vreme pandemije Covid-19* (97–115). Kikinda: Visoka škola strukovnih studija za obrazovanje vaspitača u Kikindi.
  - Post, L. S., Guo, P., Saab, N. & Admirall, W. (2019). Effects of remote labs on cognitive, behavioral, and affective learning outcomes in higher education. *Computers & Education*, 140, e103596.
  - Rajab, M. H., Gazal, A. M. & Alkattan, K. (2020). Challenges to Online Medical Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Cureus*, 12 (7), e8966. <https://doi.10.7759/cureus.8966>
  - Ristić, M., Mandić, D. (2017). *Obrazovanje na daljinu*. Beograd: Učiteljski fakultet.
  - Saromines-Ganne, B. & Leong, P. (2002). *The „Art” of Online Learning: Teaching Visual Art Virtually*. <https://docplayer.net/11746503-The-art-of-online-learning-teaching-visual-art-virtually.html>.
  - Stoeck, F., Müller, L., Brady, A., Trilla, A., Mähringer-Kunz, A. & Hahn, F. (2021). How COVID-19 kick-started online learning in medical education – The DigiMed study. *PLoS ONE*, 16 (9), e0257394. <https://doi.10.1371/journal.pone.0257394>
  - Stojanović, D. (2020). Analiza realizacije učenja na daljinu u Srbiji za vreme pandenije virusa Kovid-19. U: Mitić, P. i Marjanović, D. (ur.). *Black swan in the world economy 2020* (121–140). Beograd: Institut ekonomskih nauka.
  - Swan, K., Garrison, D. R. & Richardson, J. C. (2009). A constructivist approach to online learning: The community of inquiry framework. In: Payne, C. R. (Ed.). *Information technology and constructivism in higher education: Progressive learning frameworks* (43–57). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
  - Tanasijević, M. J. & Janković, N. Z. (2021). The New Virtual Reality – Teachers' and Students' Perceptions and Experience in English Language Learning and Teaching Online. *Inovacije u nastavi*, 24 (4), 167–186.
  - Terkl, Š. (2020). *Obnovimo razgovor. Moć razgovora u digitalnom dobu*. Beograd: CLIO.
-